arXiv:1301.3396v3 [math.FA] 4 Feb 2013

STABILITY OF BANACH SPACES VIA NONLINEAR ε-ISOMETRIES

DUANXU DAI[1] AND YUNBAI DONG[2]

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that the existence of an ε-isometry from a separable Banach space X into the James space $\mathcal{J}$ also implies the existence of a linear isometry from X into $\mathcal{J}$. Then we present a set valued map version theorem on non-surjective ε-isometries of Banach spaces. Using the above results, we also discuss the stability of Banach spaces on convexity and smoothness under the perturbation of ε-isometries.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper X and Y denote real Banach spaces. An isometry from X to Y is a map $f : X \to Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| = \|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

For surjective isometries, Mazur and Ulam [13] have given a perfect result. They showed that if f is a surjective isometry between two real Banach spaces, then f is affine. While a non-surjective isometry is not necessary affine, for example, we define by $f(t) = (t, sint)$ from R into ℓ^2_∞.

In 1967, Figiel [6] proved the following remarkable result on non-surjective isometries, which is an appropriate substitute for the Mazur-Ulam theorem.

Theorem 1.1. ($Figiel$). *Let $f : X \to Y$ be an isometry with $f(0) = 0$, and let $L(f) = \overline{span} f(X)$. Then there exists a bounded linear operator $P : L(f) \to X$ with $\|P\| = 1$ such that$P \circ f = I$ (the identity) on X.*

We next recall the following concept which is related to isometries of Banach spaces.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B04; Secondary 46B20, 26E25, 54C65, 54C60.

Key words and phrases. ε-isometry, stability, Banach space, continuous selection, set valued map.

[2] Supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China, grant 11201353.

Definition 1.2. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, a map $f : X \to Y$ is called an ε-isometry if

$$\left| \|f(x) - f(y)\| - \|x - y\| \right| \leq \varepsilon \ for \ all \ x, y \in X.$$

These maps were first studied by Hyers and Ulam [10], and they proposed the following question: Whether for every surjective ε-isometry $f : X \to Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ there exists a surjective linear isometry $U : X \to Y$ and $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\|f(x) - U(x)\| \leq \gamma\varepsilon, \ \ for \ all \ x \in X?$$

Based on a decisive result of Gruber [9], Gevirtz [7] proved that the answer to the Hyers-Ulam problem is positive with $\gamma = 5$. The following sharp estimate was finally obtained by Omladič-Šemrl [15].

Theorem 1.3. *(Omladič-Šemrl). If $f : X \to Y$ be an surjective ε-isometry with $f(0) =$ 0, then there is a surjective linear isometry $U : X \to Y$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - U(x)\| \leq 2\varepsilon, \ \ for \ all \ x \in X.$$

In light of Figiel' theorem, the study of non-surjective ε-isometries has also brought mathematicians great attention. Qian [17] first proposed the following problem in 1995.

Problem 1.4. Whether there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ depending only on X and $Y$with the following property: For each ε-isometry $f : X \to Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ there is a bounded linear operator $T : L(f) \to X$ such that

$$\|Tf(x) - x\| \leq \gamma\varepsilon, \quad for \ all \ x \in X, \tag{1.1}$$

where $L(f) = \overline{span} f(X)$.

Then he showed that the answer is affirmative if both X and Y are L_p spaces. Šemrl and Väisälä [19] further presented a sharp estimate of inequality (1.1) with $\gamma = 2$ if both of them are L_p spaces for $1 < p < \infty$.

The answer of Problem 1.4 may be affirmative for some classical Banach spaces X and Y. But, unfortunately, Qian further gave a counterexample.

Example 1.5. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, and let Ybe a separable Banach space admitting a uncomplemented closed subspace X. Assume that g is a bijective mapping from X onto the closed unit ball B_Y of Y with $g(0) = 0$. We define

$$f : X \to Y \ by \ f(x) = x + \varepsilon g(x)/2, \quad for \ all \ x \in X$$

Then f is an ε-isometry with $f(0) = 0$ and $Y = L(f)$. But there are no such T and γ satisfying inequality (1.1).

This disappointment makes us to turn to consider some appropriate complementability assumption in study of stability of non-surjective ε-isometries. Recently, Cheng, Dong and Zhang show the following theorems in [4].

Theorem 1.6. *(Cheng-Dong-Zhang). Let X and Ybe Banach spaces, and let $f : X \to Y$ be an $\varepsilon-$ isometry with $f(0) = 0$ for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Then for every $x^* \in X^*$, there exists $\phi \in Y^*$ with $\|\phi\| = \|x^*\| = r$ such that*

$$|\langle \phi, f(x)\rangle - \langle x^*, x\rangle| \leq 4\varepsilon r, \quad for \ all \ x \in X.$$

Theorem 1.7. *(Cheng-Dong-Zhang). Let X and Y be Banach spaces, and let $f : X \to Y$ be an $\varepsilon-$ isometry with $f(0) = 0$ for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$, then*

(i) If Y is reflexive and if E is α-complemented in Y, then there is a bounded linear operator $T : Y \to X$ with $\|T\| \leq \alpha$ such that

$$\|Tf(x) - x\| \leq 4\varepsilon, \quad for \ all \ x \in X.$$

(ii) If Y is reflexive, smooth and locally uniformly convex, and if E is α-complemented in Y, then there is a bounded linear operator $T : Y \to X$ with $\|T\| \leq \alpha$ such that the following sharp estimate holds

$$\|Tf(x) - x\| \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad for \ all \ x \in X.$$

After that, Cheng, Dai, Dong and Zhou in [3] first showed that for Banach spaces X and Y if such an ε-isometry $f : X \to Y$ exists, then there is a linear isometry $U : X^{**} \to Y^{**}$; in particular, if Y is reflexive, then there is a linear isometry $U : X \to Y$, and then introduced a notion called left (right) universal stability spaces.

Definition 1.8. ([3]) A Banach space X (resp. Y) is said to be a left (resp. right) universal stability space if for every Banach space Y(resp. X) and every ε-isometry $f : X \to Y$ with $f(0) = 0$, there exist a bounded linear operator $T : L(f) \to X$ and $\infty > \gamma > 0$ such that

$$\|Tf(x) - x\| \leq \gamma\varepsilon, \quad for\ all\ x \in X,$$

that is, inequality holds. Such pair (X, Y) are called stable.

As a result, by using the Cheng-Dong-Zhang theorem (i.e., Theorem 1.6) and the Lindenstrauss-Tzafriri theorem they proved that up to linear isomorphism, right universal stability spaces are just Hilbert spaces and that every injective space is universally left-stable. They also verified that an isomorphic copy contained in ℓ_∞ is universally left-stable if and only if it is also an isomorphic copy of ℓ_∞, and that a separable space X satisfies that the pair (X, Y) is stable for every separable Y if and only if X is an isomorphic copy of c_0.

Definition 1.9. ([2]) A Banach space X is said to be cardinality injective, if there is a $\lambda \geq 0$ such that for every Banach space Y isometrically containing X and $\mathrm{card}(Y) \leq \mathrm{card}(X)$, there is a projection $P : Y \to X$ with $\|P\| \leq \lambda$.

L. Bao, L. Cheng, Q. Cheng and D. Dai in [2] showed that if a dual Banach space is universally left-stable, then it is isometric to a complemented w^*-closed subspace of $\ell_\infty(\Gamma)$ for some index set Γ, hence, an injective space; and that a Banach space is universally left-stable if and only if it is a cardinality injective space. Therefore, the universally-left-stability of Banach spaces is isomorphically invariant.

In section 2, we introduce some notation which will be very useful for our proof of main results, here we refer the interested readers to the Phelps's book [16] and Megginson's book [14] for more details.

In section 3, by using the Rosenthal's ℓ_1 theorem 3.3 and the Cheng-Dong-Zhang theorem (i.e., Theorem 1.6) we first show that if there is a ε-isometry from a separable Banach space X into a Banach space Y containing no ℓ_1, then there exists a linear isometry from X into Y^{**} (In particular, if Y is the James space $\mathcal{J}$ then there is a linear isometry from X into $\mathcal{J}$). Then we present a set valued map version of Theorem 1.6

via continuous linear selections of a set valued map, i.e., Problem 3.6 and further find a weaker solution of Problem 1.4, i.e., Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.8. We also discuss the stability of convexity and smoothness in Banach spaces under the perturbation of ε-isometries and then address some open Problems.

2. Preliminaries and notation

Recall that a set valued map $F : X \to 2^Y$ is said to be usco provided it is nonempty compact valued and upper semicontinuous, i.e., $F(x)$ is nonempty compact for each $x \in X$ and $\{x \in X : F(x) \subset U\}$ is open in X whenever U is open in Y. We say that F is usco at $x \in X$ if F is nonempty compact valued and upper semicontinuous at X, i.e., for every open set V of Ycontaining $F(x)$ there exists a open neighborhood U of X such that $F(U) \subset V$. Therefore, F is usco if and only if F is usco at each $x \in X$.

A function $\varphi : X \to Y$ is called a selection of F if $\varphi(x) \in F(x)$ for each $x \in X$, moreover, we say φ is a continuous (linear) selection of F if φ is also continuous (linear) map. We denote the graph of F by $G(F) \equiv \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \in F(x)\}$, we write $F_1 \subset F_2$ if $G(F_1) \subset G(F_2)$. A usco map F is said to be minimal if $E = F$ whenever E is a usco map and $E \subset F$.

We list in the following some useful statements about usco maps and subdifferential mappings (See Phelps [16]).

Lemma 2.1. *By Zorn's Lemma, every (convex) usco map has a minimal (convex) usco map.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let F be a minimal usco map on the Baire space X with compact values in the Hausdorff space (Y, τ) and d be a metric on Y. Suppose that for every nonempty open subset U of X there exist nonempty open subsets V of U such that $F(V)$ contain relatively open subsets of arbitrarily small d-diameter. Then there exists a dense G_δ subset D of X such that F is single-valued and d-upper semicontinuous at each point of D.*

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that F_1, F_2 are set valued maps from X into 2^Y such that $F_2 \subset F_1$ and F_1 is usco. Then F_2 is usco if and only if $G(F_2)$ is closed.*

Definition 2.4. A convex function g defined on a nonempty open convex subset C of X is said to be Gateaux differentiable at $x \in C$ provided the limit

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{g(x+ty)-g(x)}{t}$$

exists for each $y \in X$.

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that X is a Banach space, g is a continuous convex function on a nonempty open convex subset C of X. Then g is Gateaux differentiable at each point $x \in C$ if and only if there is a norm-w^* continuous selection of its subdifferential mapping $\partial g : C \to 2^{X^*}$ defined for every $x \in C$ by*

$$\partial g(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : g(y) - g(x) \geq x^*(y-x),\ \ for\ all\ y \in X\}.$$

and that X is Gateaux differentiable (smooth) if and only if $\|\cdot\|$ is Gateaux differentiable at each point of S_X if and only if $\partial\|\cdot\|$ is single valued at each point of S_X.

The following classical results about rotundity and smoothness of Banach spaces can be founded in Robert E. Megginson's book [14], P. 425-516. In section 3, we will discuss the stability of rotundity (also called convexity) and smoothness of Banach spaces via ε-isometries.

Definition 2.6. ([14])

(i) X is said to be uniformly Gateaux smooth provided the limit

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{\|x+ty\|-\|x\|}{t}$$

exists for each $x \in S_X$ and $y \in X$, and furthermore the convergence is uniform for x in S_X whenever y is a fixed point of S_X;

(ii) X is said to be Fréchet smooth provided the limit in (i) exists for each $x \in S_X$ and $y \in X$, and furthermore the convergence is uniform for y in S_X whenever x is a fixed point of S_X;

(ii) X is said to be uniformly Fréchet smooth (i.e., uniformly smooth) provided the limit in (i) exists for each $x \in S_X$ and $y \in X$, and furthermore the convergence is uniform for (x, y) in $S_X \times S_X$.

Proposition 2.7. *([14]) X (X^*) is w (w^*)-uniformly rotund whenever (x_n) and (y_n) are sequences in S_X (S_{X^*}) and $\|\frac{1}{2}(x_n + y_n)\| \to 1$, it follows that $x_n - y_n$ weakly (resp. weakly star) converges to 0. In particular, X is said to be uniformly rotund if $x_n - y_n$ norm- converges to 0.*

Proposition 2.8. *([14]) Suppose that X^* is the dual space of X. Then*

(i) X is strongly rotund if and only if X^* is Fréchet smooth;

(ii) X is strongly rotund if and only if X is reflexive, rotund and has the Radon-Riesz property;

(iii) X is Fréchet smooth if X^* is strongly rotund; If, in addition, X is reflexive, then the converse also holds;

(iv) X is uniformly Gateaux smooth if and only if X^* is w^*-uniformly rotund;

(v) X is weakly uniformly rotund if X^* is uniformly Gateaux smooth; The converse also holds for reflexive X;

(vi) X is uniformly rotund (uniformly smooth) if and only if X^* is uniformly smooth (uniformly rotund).

Definition 2.9. ([1], [5]) A Banach space (resp. separable Banach space) X is said to be injective (resp. separably injective) if it has the following extension property: Every bounded linear operator from a closed subspace of a Banach space (resp. separable Banach space) into X can be extended to be a bounded operator on the whole space.

A completed introduction to injective Banach spaces and separably injective Banach spaces can be founded in Albiac and Kalton's book [1], also in Fabian, Habala, Hájek, Montesinos and Zizler's book [5]. According to [2]and [3], analogously, we have the following Theorem 2.10 which is left to the interested reader to prove.

Theorem 2.10. *A (resp. separable) Banach space X is injective (resp. separably injective) if and only if for each (resp. separable) Banach space Y, there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ depending only on X with the following property: For each ε-isometry $f : X \to Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ there is a $w^* - w^*$ continuous linear selection of the set-valued map Φ from X^* into 2^{Y^*} defined by*

$$\Phi(x^*) =: \{\phi \in Y^* : |\langle \phi, f(x)\rangle - \langle x^*, x\rangle| \le \gamma\|x^*\|\varepsilon, \quad for\ all\ x \in X\}.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

3.1. ε-Isometric embedding into Banach spaces containing no ℓ_1. In 2003, Godefroy and Kalton [8] studied the relationship between isometry and linear isometry, and showed the following deep theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *(Godefroy-Kalton [8]). Suppose that X, Y are two Banach spaces.*

(1) If X is separable and there is an isometry $f : X \to Y$, then Y contains an isometric linear copy of X;

(2) If X is a nonseparable weakly compactly generated space, then there exist a Banach space Y and an isometry $f : X \to Y$, but X is not linearly isomorphic any subspace of Y.

In [3] Cheng, Dong, Dai and Zhou used invariant mean procedure to present a partial generalization of the Godefroy-Kalton theorem. Indeed, they proved that if such an ε-isometry exists, and assume that Y is reflexive, then there is a linear isometry from X into Y.

In this section, we will raise an open Problem 3.2 and give another positive example (i.e., Corollary 3.5) for this problem by using the Rosenthal's ℓ_1 theorem and Theorem 1.6.

Problem 3.2. Let X, Y be two Banach spaces, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, f is a ε-isometry from X into Y. Does there exist an isometry from X into Y?

Lemma 3.3. *(Rosenthal's ℓ_1 theorem [18]). Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a bounded sequence in an infinite-dimensional Banach space X. Then either:*

(i) $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence which is weakly Cauchy, or

(ii) $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence which is basic and equivalent to the canonical basis of ℓ_1.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a separable Banach space, and let Y be a Banach space such that no closed subspace of Y is isomorphic to ℓ_1. If $\varepsilon \geq 0$, f is a ε-isometry from X into Y, then there is an isometry from X into Y^{**}.*

Proof. Given $x \in X$, by the Rosenthal's ℓ_1 theorem (i.e., Lemma 3.3), there exists a weakly Cauchy subsequence $(\frac{f(n_k x)}{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $(\frac{f(nx)}{n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Hence $(\frac{f(n_k x)}{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a w^*-convergent sequence in Y^{**}. Let $(x_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a norm-dense sequence of X. By a standard argument of principal diagonal, we can without loss of generality assume that $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies that

$$U(x_m) \equiv w^* - \lim_k \frac{f(n_k x_m)}{n_k} \;\; exists \;\; for \; all \; m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Theorem 1.6, for each $x^* \in S_{X^*}$, there is a functional $\phi \in S_{Y^*}$ such that

$$|\langle \phi, f(x) \rangle - \langle x^*, x \rangle| \leq 4\varepsilon, \quad for \; all \; x \in X.$$

Hence

$$|\langle \phi, \frac{f(n_k x_m)}{n_k} \rangle - \langle x^*, x_m \rangle| \leq \frac{4\varepsilon}{n_k}, \quad for \; all \; m, \; k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let k tend to ∞, we deduce that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\langle \phi, U(x_m) \rangle = \langle x^*, x_m \rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

Given $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the Hahn-Banach theorem and the equality 3.1 we can choose a norm-attaining functional $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_m - x_n\| &= x^*(x_m - x_n) \\ &= \langle \phi, U(x_m) \rangle - \langle \phi, U(x_n) \rangle \\ &\leq \|U(x_m) - U(x_n)\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, by the w^*-lower semicontinuous argument of a conjugate norm, we deduce that for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(x_m) - U(x_n)\| &= \|w^* - \lim_k \frac{f(n_k x_m) - f(n_k x_n)}{n_k}\| \\ &\leq \liminf_k \frac{\|n_k x_m - n_k x_n\| + \varepsilon}{n_k} \\ &= \|x_m - x_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Therefore, combining inequality (3.2) and inequality (3.3) U is an isometry from the norm-dense sequence $(x_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ into Y^{**}. Obviously, U has a unique extension $\overline{U} : X \to Y^{**}$ such that $\overline{U}$ is also an isometry from X into Y^{**}.

□

Corollary 3.5. *Let X be a separable Banach space, and let $\mathcal{J}$ be the James space. If $\varepsilon \geq 0$, f is a ε-isometry from X into $\mathcal{J}$, then there is a linear isometry from X into $\mathcal{J}$.*

Proof. By the Godefroy-Kalton theorem 3.1 and the above theorem 3.4, and note that $\mathcal{J}$ is isometric to its bidual $\mathcal{J}^{**}$ admitting a separable dual but fails to be reflexive, nowadays known as the James space constructed by James in [11] and [12], we can easily complete the proof.

□

3.2. **Applications to continuous selections of a set valued map.** In this section, we consider a set valued map version of Problem 1.4 which is equivalent to the following problem.

Problem 3.6. Whether there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ depending only on X and $Y$with the following property: For each ε-isometry $f : X \to Y$ with $f(0) = 0$ there is a $w^* - w^*$ continuous linear selection Q of the set-valued map Φ from X^* into $2^{L(f)^*}$ defined by

$$\Phi(x^*) := \{\phi \in L(f)^* : |\langle \phi, f(x)\rangle - \langle x^*, x\rangle| \leq \gamma \|x^*\|\varepsilon, \quad for\ all\ x \in X\},$$

where $L(f) = \overline{span} f(X)$.

By using of Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3, we can obtain a weaker solution of Problem 1.4 (Problem 3.6), that is, Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.8.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that X, Y are Banach spaces, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, $r > 0$ and $\gamma \geq 4$. Assume that f is a $\varepsilon-$ isometry from X into Y with $f(0) = 0$ and that Φ is the set-valued map from X^* into $2^{L(f)^*}$ defined by*

$$\Phi(x^*) := \{\phi \in L(f)^* : |\langle \phi, f(x)\rangle - \langle x^*, x\rangle| \leq \gamma \|x^*\|\varepsilon, \quad for\ all\ x \in X\},$$

where $L(f) = \overline{span} f(X)$. Then the following statements hold

(i) There exists a minimal convex norm-w^* usco map contained in Φ.

(ii) If, in addition, $Y$is separable, then there exist a norm-dense G_δ subset D of X^* and a selection Q of Φ such that Q is norm-w^* continuous at each point of D.

Proof. (i) For each $n \in Z^+$, let $\Phi_n : nB_{X^*} \to 2^{L(f)^*}$ be defined by

$$\Phi_n(x^*) := \{\phi \in nB_{L(f)^*} : |\langle \phi, f(x)\rangle - \langle x^*, x\rangle| \leq \gamma \|x^*\|\varepsilon, \quad for\ all\ x \in X\},$$

Assume that $F : X^* \to 2^{L(f)^*}$ is a set valued map such that for all $x^* \in (n+1)B_{X^*} \backslash nB_{X^*}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$F(0) = \{0\} \ \ and \ \ F(x^*) = \Phi_{n+1}(x^*).$$

Obviously, for each $x^* \in X^*$, $F(x^*)$ is a nonempty, convex and w^*- compact subset of $L(f)^*$ and $F \subset \Phi$, then it suffices to show that F is norm $-w^*$ upper semicontinuous and hence by Zorn's Lemma there exists a minimal convex norm$-w^*$ usco map contained in Φ.

Let $(x^*_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Gamma}$ be a net convergent to $x^* \in X^*$ in its norm- topology, then there exist some $n_0 \in Z^+$ and $\alpha_0 \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\|x^*_\alpha\| \leq n_0 \ \ and \ \ n_0 - 1 < \|x^*\| \leq n_0, \quad for\ all\ \alpha \geq \alpha_0.$$

Now, by the definition of Φ_n, for each $y^*_\alpha \in F(x^*_\alpha)$ we have for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, $\|y^*_\alpha\| \leq n_0$. By the w^*-compactness argument of $n_0 B_{L(f)^*}$, there exists a subnet $(y^*_\beta) \subset (y^*_\alpha)$ w^*-convergent to some $y^* \in n_0 B_{L(f)^*}$ and it follows that $y^* \in F(x^*)$. Therefore, F is norm-w^* upper semicontinuous at each point $x^* \in X^*$ (If not, by the definition of a usco map for some w^*-open set $U \supset F(x^*)$, we can find a net $(x^*_\alpha)_{\tau\in\tau}$ norm-convergent to x^* such that for every $\alpha \in \tau$, there exist $y^*_\alpha \in F(x^*_\alpha)$ and $y^*_\alpha \notin U$. Since $y^*_\alpha \notin U$ for all $\alpha \in \tau$, it is impossible that any subnet of it w^*-converges to some $y^* \in F(x^*)$).

(ii)By (i) there exists a minimal convex norm-w^* usco map F' with $F' \subset F \subset \Phi$. By hypothesis, $L(f)$ is separable, there exists a norm-dense countable set $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset S_{L(f)}$ such that the relative w^*-topology on every bounded subset A of $L(f)^*$ coincides with d a metric defined by

$$d(x^*, y^*) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} |\langle x^*, x_n\rangle - \langle y^*, x_n\rangle|, \quad for\ all\ x^*,\ y^* \in A.$$

Assume that $d(A)$ is the diameter of A with respect to d and that τ is the norm-topology on X^*. For each $n \in N$, let G_n be defined by

$$G_n = \bigcup \{U : U \in \tau\ such\ that\ F'(U)\ is\ w^* - metrizable\ and\ d(F'(U)) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}.$$

Clearly, G_n is open in X^*, we will show that it is dense in X^*.

Assume that V be a nonempty open subset of X^* and that P is the natural projection from $X^* \times L(f)^*$ onto X^*. By the definition of F in (i), we can choose any nonempty bounded open ball B of V, then $F'(B)$ is norm-bounded in $L(f)^*$. Thus, by the definition of d, $d(F'(B)) < +\infty$ and there is a w^*-open subset $W \subset L(f)^*$ such that

$$F'(B) \cap W \neq \emptyset \textit{ and } d(F'(B) \cap W) \leq 1/n.$$

Hence $G(F') \cap (B \times W) \neq \emptyset$ and $G(F') \backslash (B \times W)$ is a proper closed subset of $G(F')$.

Next, we will show that there exists $x_0^* \in B$ such that $F'(x_0^*) \subset W$. Or else, $F'(x^*) \backslash W \neq \emptyset$ for all $x^* \in B$. Now we define a set valued map $E : X^* \to 2^{L(f)^*}$ for all $x^* \in X^*$ such that

$$E(x^*) = \begin{cases} F'(x^*) \backslash W, & x^* \in B, \quad (3.4) \\ F'(x^*), & x^* \notin B. \quad (3.4') \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that E is a nonempty w^*-compact valued map such that

$$G(E) = G(F') \backslash (B \times W) \subset G(F').$$

Then by Proposition 2.3 E is a norm-w^* usco map properly contained in F' which is a contradiction, since F' is a minimal norm-w^* usco map. Therefore, there exists $x_0^* \in B$ such that $F'(x_0^*) \subset W$.

If $U = \{x^* \in X^* : F'(x^*) \subset W\} \cap B$, then $x_0^* \in U$ and U is an open set such that

$$d(F'(U)) \leq d(F'(B) \cap W) \leq 1/n.$$

It follows that $U \subset G_n$ and hence $x_0^* \in V \cap G_n \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, G_n is dense in X^*. Now, let $D = \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$. since X^* is a Baire space in its norm- topology, D is a dense G_δ subset of X^*. By the definition of D it is clear that $F'(x^*)$ is a singleton at each point $x^* \in D$. Since F' is norm-w^* usco, and if Q is a selection of F', then Q is also a selection of Φ such that Q is norm-w^* continuous at each point of D, and we complete the proof. □

Theorem 3.8. *Suppose that X, Y are Banach spaces, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, f is a ε-isometry from X into Y with $f(0) = 0$. If we define the set-valued map $\Phi_r : rB_{X^*} \to 2^{L(f)^*}$ with $r > 0$*

and $\gamma \geq 4$ *by*

$$\Phi_r(x^*) := \{\phi \in rB_{L(f)^*} : |\langle \phi, f(x)\rangle - \langle x^*, x\rangle| \leq \gamma\|x^*\|\varepsilon, \quad for\ all\ x \in X\},$$

then Φ_r *is convex* w^**-usco at each point of* rS_{X^*}*. If, in addition, Yis separable, then there exist a* w^**-dense subset* D *of* (rB_{X^*}, τ_{w^*}) *and a selection* Q *of* Φ_r *such that* Q *is* w^**-*w^* *continuous at each point of* D*.*

Proof. By the definition of Φ_r, it is clear that Φ_r is nonempty, convex and w^*-compact valued. Now, we will show that it is w^*-w^* upper semicontinuous at $x^* \in rS_{X^*}$. Let $(x^*_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \subset rB_{X^*}$ be a net w^* convergent to $x^* \in rS_{X^*}$ and $y^*_\alpha \in \Phi_r(x^*_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. By Alaouglu theorem, there exist a subnet $(y^*_\beta) \subset (y^*_\alpha)$ w^*- convergent to some $y^* \in rB_{L(f)^*}$ and it follows that $y^* \in \Phi_r(x^*)$. Therefore, Using the same notation and the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 3.7(i) Φ_r is $w^* - w^*$ upper semicontinuous at each point x^* of rS_{X^*}.

By Lemma 3.7(ii), it follows the second statement if X is finite-dimensional. It remains to show this case where X is infinite-dimensional, note that rS_{X^*} is w^*- dense in rB_{X^*}. By Zorn's Lemma there exists a minimal convex set valued map $F \subset \Phi_r$ such that F is w^*- usco at each point of rS_{X^*}. Note that rS_{X^*} itself is a Baire space with its relative w^*-topology, and that $rB_{L(f)^*}$ is w^*- metrizable if $Y$is separable. By Lemma 2.2 there exists a dense G_δ subset D of rS_{X^*} with its relative w^*-topology such that F is single-valued at each point of D. Obviously, D is w^*-dense in rB_{X^*}. Thus, we can choose a suitable selection Q of Φ_r such that $Q = F$ in D, hence Q is w^*-w^* continuous at each point of D. □

Combining Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.8 with Proposition 2.8, we have the following two propositions about convexity and smoothness of Banach spaces under the perturbation of ε-isometries.

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose that* X, Y *are Banach spaces,* $\varepsilon \geq 0$, f *is a* ε*-isometry from* X *into* Y *with* $f(0) = 0$*. Let* Φ_1 *be defined the same as in Lemma 3.7 and Theorem 3.8. Then*

(i) X *is smooth if there is a norm-*w^* *continuous selection of* $\Phi_1 \circ \partial\|\cdot\| : X \to 2^{L(f)^*}$,

(ii) In particular, X^* *is strictly convex if* Y^* *is strictly convex.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $\phi : X \to L(f)^*$ is a norm-w^* continuous selection of $\Phi_1 \circ \partial\|\cdot\|$, that is, for every $x \in X$, there is $x^* \in \partial\|x\|$ such that $\phi(x) \in \Phi_1(x^*)$. Since every selection of Φ_1 is injective, if φ is a selection of Φ_1, then $\varphi^{-1} \circ \phi : X \to S_{X^*}$ is a selection of $\partial\|\cdot\|$. Hence by Proposition 2.5 it suffices to show that $\varphi^{-1} \circ \phi$ is norm-w^* continuous. Let $(x_n) \subset X$ norm-converges to $x_0 \in X$, by assumption for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $x_n^* \in \partial\|x_n\|$ such that $\phi(x_n) = \varphi(x_n^*)$ and that $\varphi(x_n^*)$ is w^*-converges to $\varphi(x_0^*)$, by Alaouglu theorem and Theorem 1.6 it follows that x_n^* is w^*-convergent to x_0^*. Therefore $\varphi^{-1} \circ \phi(x_n^*)$ is w^*-convergent to $\varphi^{-1} \circ \phi(x_0^*)$ and thus X is smooth.

(ii) Assume that Y^* is strictly convex, by the Hahn-Banach theorem every point of $S_{L(f)^*}$ is an extremal point of $B_{L(f)^*}$. On the other hand, by the definiton of Φ_r in Theorem 3.8, we can deduce that Φ_r is injective and single valued at each point of S_{X^*}, and note that Φ_1 preserves line segments on S_{X^*}, that is, if L is a line segment of S_{X^*}, then $\Phi_1(L)$ is also a line segment of $S_{L(f)^*}$ which is a contradiction. Hence X^* is also strictly convex. □

Proposition 3.10. *Suppose that X, Y are Banach spaces, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, f is a ε-isometry from X into Y with $f(0) = 0$. Then*

(i) X is strictly convex if Y^* is smooth;

(ii) X is weakly uniformly convex if Y^* is uniformly Gateaux smooth;

(iii) X is strongly convex if Y^* is Fréchet smooth;

(iv) X is uniformly convex if Y^* is uniformly smooth;

Proof. (i) Let φ be a selection of Φ_1 such that for all $x \in X$ and $x^* \in S_{X^*}$,

$$|\langle \varphi(x^*), f(x)\rangle - \langle x^*, x\rangle| \leq 4\varepsilon, \tag{3.5}$$

and let M be defined by

$$M = \overline{span}\{\varphi(x^*) : x^* \in S_{X^*}\}.$$

Since for every $x \in X$, $\{\frac{f(nx)}{n}\}$ is a norm-bounded sequence of Y, it follows from 3.5 and uniform boundedness principle that the following limit exists for every $m \in M$,

$$\langle U(x), m\rangle = \lim_n \langle \frac{f(nx)}{n}, m\rangle,$$

and $U : X \to M^*$ is well defined for all $x \in X$ by

$$U(x) \equiv w^* - \lim_n \frac{f(nx)}{n}.$$

By an analogous proof of Theorem 3.4, U is an isometry from X into M^* such that for each $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ and $x \in X$,

$$\langle \varphi(x^*), U(x) \rangle = \langle x^*, x \rangle. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, if Y^* is smooth (In fact, U here is linear), then M as a subspace of Y^* is also smooth. Hence by the above equality 3.6 X is strictly convex.

(ii)Assume that Y^* is uniformly Gateaux smooth. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be two sequences of S_X such that

$$\lim_n \|\frac{x_n + y_n}{2}\| = 1,$$

by definition it suffices to show for every $x^* \in S_{X^*}$ that

$$\lim_n \langle x^*, x_n - y_n \rangle = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

It follows from (i), the assumption and Proposition 2.8 (iv) that Y^{**} is w^*-uniformly convex and

$$\lim_n \|\frac{U(x_n) + U(y_n)}{2}\| = \lim_n \|\frac{x_n + y_n}{2}\| = 1, \tag{3.8}$$

we deduce for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $U(x_n)$, $U(y_n)$ and $\frac{U(x_n)+U(y_n)}{2}$ have a unique norm-preserving extension from M^* to Y^{**} denoted by $\overline{U(x_n)}$, $\overline{U(y_n)}$ and $\overline{\frac{U(x_n)+U(y_n)}{2}}$, respectively such that

$$\overline{\frac{U(x_n) + U(y_n)}{2}} = \frac{\overline{U(x_n)} + \overline{U(y_n)}}{2}. \tag{3.9}$$

It follows from Proposition 2.7, equality 3.6, 3.8 and 3.9 that for every $x^* \in S_{X^*}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_n \langle x^*, x_n - y_n \rangle &= \lim_n \langle \varphi(x^*), U(x_n) - U(y_n) \rangle \\ &= \lim_n \langle \varphi(x^*), \overline{U(x_n)} - \overline{U(y_n)} \rangle = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Hence 3.7 holds, by Proposition 2.7 X is weakly uniformly convex.

(iii-iv) It follows from the assumptions of (iii) and (iv) that Y is reflexive, thus we can easily deduce from (i) and Proposition 2.8 that M^* of (i) is strongly convex and uniformly convex, respectively. Hence X is strongly convex and uniformly convex, respectively.

□

At the end, we address some open problems about ε-isometric embeddings.

Problem 3.11. Suppose that X, Y are Banach spaces, $\varepsilon > 0$, f is a ε-isometry from X into Y with $f(0) = 0$. Then

(i) Does there exist an isometry from X into Y?

(ii) Can we characterize the space X (Y) satisfying that for every Y (X), if such f exists, then there is an isometry from X into Y?

(iii) If, in addition, Y has some property (P) (for example, smoothness, convexity), so does X?

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their appreciation to Prof. Lixin Cheng for many very helpful comments on the stability of Banach spaces for ε-isometries.

References

1. F. Albiac, N.J. Kalton, *Topics in Banach Space Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 233, Springer, New York, 2006.
2. L. Bao, L. Cheng, Q. Cheng, D. Dai, *On universally-left-stability of Banach spaces for ε-isometries*, preprint, arxiv:1301.3656.
3. L. Cheng, D. Dai, Y. Dong, Y. Zhou, *On ε-isometry, isometry and linear isometry*, preprint, arXiv:1301.3374.
4. L. Cheng, Y. Dong, W. Zhang, *On stability of Nonsurjective ε-isometries of Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **264** (2013), 713-734.
5. M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, V. Zizler, *Banach Space Theory, CMS Books in Mathematics*, 1st Edition, 2011.
6. T. Figiel, *On non-linear isometric embeddings of normed linear spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math. Astro. Phys. **16** (1968), 185-188.
7. J. Gevirtz, *Stability of isometries on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1983), 633-636.
8. G. Godefroy, N.J. Kalton, *Lipschitz-free Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **159** (2003), 121-141.
9. P. M. Gruber, *Stability of isometries*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **245** (1978), 263-277.
10. D. Hyers, S. Ulam, *On approximate isometries*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1945), 288-292.

11. R.C. James, *Base and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, Ann. Math. (2) **52** (1950), 518-527.
12. R.C. James, *A non-reflexive Banach space isometric with its second conjugate space*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **37** (1951), 174-177.
13. S. Mazur, S. Ulam, *Sur les transformations isométriques déspaces vectoriels normés*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. **194** (1932), 946-948.
14. Robert E. Megginson, *An introduction to Banach Space Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 183, Springer, New York, 1998.
15. M. Omladič, P. Šemrl, *On non linear perturbations of isometries*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), 617-628.
16. R.R. Phelps, *Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1364, Springer, 1989.
17. S. Qian, *ε-Isometric embeddings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 1797-1803.
18. H.P. Rosenthal, *A characterization of Banach spaces containing ℓ_1*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **71** (1974), 2411-2413.
19. P. Šemrl, J. Väisälä, *Nonsurjective nearisometries of Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **198** (2003), 268-278.

[1] School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, People's Republic of China.

E-mail address: dduanxu@163.com

[2] School of Mathematics and Computer, Wuhan Textile University, Wuhan 430073, People's Republic of China.

E-mail address: baiyunmu301@126.com